| **MANP001 Assignment 2 (2023)** | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Ratings** | **Points** |
| 1. Understanding the assignment  **view longer description** | **Comments**  You supposed to use KFS case data but instead you use different case data highlighting cleaning, insulating, winding, oven activities etc. Where did you get the data from? | 3 / 10 pts |
| 2. Understand the problem  **view longer description** | **Comments**  Demonstrated some understanding of the problems but not communicated clearly in the technical note.  The discussions/description provided were rather limited in that they do not necessarily explain or described the models, features of the models. | 2 / 10 pts |
| 3. Simul8 Model  **view longer description** | **Comments**  Different case study was used. Not related simulation analysis Not all models correspond with your S8 file submitted which raises question of where did you get the models from? | 2 / 20 pts |
| 4. Description & validation of Simul8 model  **view longer description** | **Comments**  Limited description of simul8 models to represent the logic of the flows in the workshops. Basic icons used to reflect the presentation and graphics of the model and you could include interesting graphic/icons for more meaningful visualization rather than using the default Simul8 icons.  Limited use of screenshots to convey description of basic logic and key features. Less clear description of resources and the shifts patterns. Justification of the model is poor and selection of KPIs need explanation and justification. Limited evidence of trials and validation of the models - need inclusion of more details to make better sense of what you have done. Any assumptions should be made clear. | 5 / 20 pts |
| 5. Technical and analysis creativity  **view longer description** | **Comments**  Different case study was used. Not related model and therefore unrelated simulation analysis | 3 / 20 pts |
| 6. Recommendation and conclusion  **view longer description** | **Comments**  Somewhat general with limited evidence to link back to your analysis of options.  You could signposting with evidence for your recommendation. You may want to highlight key findings in conclusion. It would be useful if you could summaries and compare the options/suggestions in a simple table with a few selected KPI’s. Further justifications needed when interpret results - perhaps to use signpost or internal cross-reference to support recommendations. | 2 / 10 pts |
| 7. Presentation  **view longer description** | **Comments**  You could have done a bit better on this if you pay attention to the assessment tasks and criteria.  Please engage with SLS to understand the assignment requirements. Refer also to the learning materials | 2 / 10 pts |
| Total points: 19 | | |